

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,409		07/30/2003	Ramanath Narayan Bhat	9011	
7590 08/01/2005		08/01/2005		EXAMINER	
Ramanath Bhat			NGUYEN, CAM N		
7 Dale Street					
Billerica, MA 01821				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1754	
				DATE MAIL ED. 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Auglio Alon No	0			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/630,409	BHAT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC BATE COL	Cam N. Nguyen	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing about term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/1</u>	16/05 or 05/26/05 (an election).				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are withdrawn from Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>2-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachmen	it(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date o <u>riginally filed</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,409

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In line 2, "catalysts" should be changed to –catalyst--.
- B. In line 3, "the range" should be changed to –a range--.
- C. In line 3, -- , -- should be inserted before "wherein".Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 2 & 3 are objected to because they are depending upon withdrawn (nonelected) claim(s). Since the elected claims are drawn to a different invention, in this case, a process of using a catalyst of claim 1, the elected claims must be amended in such as way to include the catalyst limitations within the claims elected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 (Second Paragraph)

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

5. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2, line 1, the term "improved" in the claim is considered as "not" part of applicants' invention. The inclusion of this term renders the claim confusing and unclear as to what is to regard as applicants contribution. If the instant invention is an improvement in an otherwise old process, then the use of the format set forth in 37 CFR 1.75(e) is suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 & 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leftin et al., "hereinafter Leftin", (US Pat. 4,539,310) *in view of* Senes et al., "hereinafter Senes", (US Pat. 3,533,963).

Leftin discloses a process for steam reforming hydrocarbon feeds, such as methane and naphtha containing from about 1 part per million to about 5 weight percent sulfur, to produce gases using a sulfur resistant catalyst having a plurality of oxides consists essentially of: (a) an amount of nickel oxide, (b) an amount of one or more oxides of the lanthanide series having atomic numbers from 57 through 71 (which would

Application/Control Number: 10/630,409

Art Unit: 1754

include both the lanthanum oxide and cerium oxide); and (c) an amount of zirconium

dioxide (see col. 13- col. 14, claim 1; col. 3, In 65- col. 4, In 32). The steam reforming

process is carried out at a temperature in the range of 600°C to 1000°c (see col. 4, In

33-38).

Leftin discloses the claimed steam reforming process using a similar catalyst,

except that the catalyst of Leftin does not include the "aluminum oxide" and "chromium

oxide".

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to have incorporated these metal oxide components into

the catalyst of Leftin in order to achieve an improved catalyst having stable structure

and enhanced in mechanical strength because "aluminum oxide" is a known support

material for improving the mechanical strength of the catalysts and "chromium oxide" is

also a known stabilizer for stabilizing steam reforming catalysts, as evidenced by Senes

(see Senes at col. 6, claim 1).

4.

Citations

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. All references are cited for related art. See PTO-892 Form

attached.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/630,409

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Page 5

9. Claims 1-3 are originally pending. Claim 1 is withdrawn due to nonelected (distinct) invention. Claims 2-3 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 8:45 AM - 5:15 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen/cnn ੴ√ July 25, 2005 CAM N. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER